

This is to urge the FCC to retain Title II regulation status for ISP's (maintain net neutrality rules)

The change to TITLE II has not had any of the impacts the "anti-regulation zealots" forecasted and all large ISP's have stated that being under TITLE II has **NOT** restricted their plans for infrastructure investment (networks, capacity etc.)

Then, why are people like the current FCC chairman and the large ISP lobbyists pushing so hard for going back to a TITLE I regulatory status, while claiming that nothing would really change ? -- because the nothing would change is just a sales pitch and the change would open possibilities of an oligopoly : with more pricing power for the established players at the expense of excluding new competitors.

See the examples of Portugal and the UK for a glimpse of what would likely happen: large ISP's squeezed through a "local" loophole in the EU rules and created tiered pricing for consumers based on content ..

Isak Rusinek

KENISUR LLC